

Easterling, Deborah

From: The McMilions <emcmilion3@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 11, 2020 12:36 PM
To: PSC_Contact
Cc: Nelson, Jeff; Dover, Becky; Grube-Lybarker, Carri; Heather Smith; Rebecca J. Dulin; Samuel Wellborn; Frank R. Ellerbe III; The McMilions
Subject: [External] addition to letter 2019-331-E

To whom it may concern,

Please disregard Mr. Wellborns citing of :

'see SCRC 11(a) ("The written or electronic signature of an attorney or party constitutes a certificate by him... that it is not interposed for delay."); see general Order No. 2020-33, Docket No. 2019-351-E (Jan. 8, 2020) ("The Commission's complaint process exists to adjudicate disputes or claims, not to create delay for customers who are subject to disconnection because they failed to pay their bill."). 'Res judicata bars subsequent actions by the same parties when the claims arise out of the same transaction or occurrence that was the subject of a prior action between those parties. Under the doctrine of res judicata, a litigant is barred from raising any issues which were adjudicated in the former suit and any issues which might have been raised in the former suit." Judy v. Judy, 712 S.E.2d 408, 413 (S.C. 2011); see also Order No. 2018-491, Docket No. 2018-171-E (July 11, 2018) ("Ms. Lutz's new complaint attempts to relitigate the same issues decided in Orders No. 2017-728 and 2017-775, which were issued in Docket No. 2017-291-E. Ms. Lutz failed to appeal our 2017 orders to the South Carolina Court of Appeals, and she cannot revive her claims in a new docket.").

On the fact that in over 20 years as a customer I have not once failed to pay an electric bill on time and in full.

On the fact that these NEW issues were not brought before the commission and adjudicated because Mr. Dong, and commissioner Belser chose to leave them out before the full commission in the previous complaint..

Enrique McMillion Jr. Complainant/Petitioner